HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 7004 of 2026

Rajesh

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Ajay Kumar Srivastava, Shahnawaz Akhtar

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 66

HON'BLE ARUN KUMAR SINGH DESHWAL, J.

1. The list of fresh cases has been revised. None appears on behalf of the applicant to press the instant bail application, though the matter was mentioned for being taken up out of turn. However, despite the revised call, none appears on behalf of the applicant to press this bail application.

2. It has come to the notice of this Court that learned counsel for the applicant could not appear before this Court for the reason that certain advocates forcibly stopped the advocates who wanted to argue their cases, and even the learned A.G.As. were forcibly taken out of the Court.

3. During the hearing of this bail application, this Court heard the cries of a lady advocate who wanted to enter the courtroom to attend her case, but she was misbehaved with and forcibly stopped from entering the Court. Such conduct on the part of some advocates is not conducive to the reputation and dignity of the Bar as well as the Court.

4. This Court is of the view that the proceedings of the Court cannot be allowed to be stalled merely because a section of advocates does not want the Court to function, although the majority of advocates want to argue their cases.

5. This Court also took judicial notice of some social media posts uploaded by certain young advocates regarding the functioning of the High Court as well as advocates, without thoughtful consideration that

such incomplete and twisted information may lead to unnecessary accentuation of differences between the Bar and the Bench. Young advocates should concentrate on their studies as well as on preparing their cases to build their careers instead of concentrating on increasing their social media likes. Young advocates are the future of the High Court. Most of the Judges as well as senior advocates of this Court are trying their best to create a good atmosphere for the future of young lawyers, who in future will become senior advocates as well as Judges of this High Court.

6. Advocates of the High Court command respect in society only because the public has trust in the High Court. Therefore, for maintaining the dignity of advocates, it is also necessary that they should work towards maintaining the dignity of the High Court. This Court is also of the view that it is not only the duty of the Judges but also of the advocates to maintain the dignity of the High Court so that public trust in the High Court may remain. If public trust in the High Court gets eroded, then mafias and certain unruly public officials will start harassing advocates, as recently happened in certain districts, which was also highlighted in several newspapers.

7. This Court is also of the view that government advocates are also advocates, and the dignity of advocates includes the dignity of private advocates as well as government advocates.

8. Therefore, this Court expects the newly elected body of the Bar Association, High Court, Allahabad, to consider this issue and take appropriate steps to maintain the dignity of the High Court at a high pedestal.

8. However, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned for the day.

9. List this matter on 26.11.2026.

(Arun Kumar Singh Deshwal,J.)

October 30, 2026
SA

Digitally signed by :-
SALMAN ALI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad