

Bail App. No. 752 of 2026

Hassan Mohiduddin Siddiqui Vs. State of UT

Present: Sh. Abhey Joshi, counsel for the accused/applicant.
Ld. APP for the State.

Through this application, the applicant/accused- Hassan Mohiduddin Siddiqui seeks bail in FIR No.44 dated 19.4.2026, under Sections 196, 318, 336(1), 336(3), 336(4), 340, 353, 356 BNS and under Sections 66-C, 66-D and 67 of I.T. Act, registered against him at PS-26, Chandigarh.

2. It has been submitted that the accused/applicant has been falsely implicated and that he had not committed the offences. The present FIR was registered on the complaint of one Satinder Singh Advocate, who has levelled multiple allegations including the allegation that there was circulation and dissemination of a misleading video through various social media handles including the accused with intend to harm the reputation of a constitutional authority, disturbing public order and to promote enmity between various groups and other allegations. Now, the FIR has been registered only under four sections wherein the maximum punishment is three years. The accused is in custody since 2 ½ months. The investigation in the present case has been completed and the charge sheet has been presented. Only CFSL report is awaited. The entire case of the prosecution relies upon the documentary and technical evidence, as such, there is no scope of the accused hampering the investigation. Further, the complainant himself is an Advocate and as such, there is

no scope for the accused, who is a layman to influence him. This is the second bail application of the accused, which is maintainable for the reason that the charge sheet has been presented. The accused/applicant had also filed bail application before Ld. Sessions Judge, which was dismissed vide order dated 07.5.2026. Further, similar tweets/captions were made by Abbas Naqvi, Mirza Baig and Sonu Singh, but no coercive action has been taken upon them and the challan is completely silent about it. The accused/applicant is father of four minor children, who are completely dependent upon him for their survival. The accused/applicant is also suffering from acute pancreatitis problem. Thus, prayer is made to allow the bail application.

3. In reply to this application, the prosecution has submitted that during the course of investigation, with the help of technical assistance of PS-Cyber Crime, Chandigarh, it was established that a person with username '@HassanSiddiquei' had posted a video falsely portraying the reputation of a constitutional authority on 18.4.2026 through his twitter account. When the said video was checked, it was found that the applicant/accused had written on his account as under:

"#BreakingNews: LEAKED video of Narendra Modi massage, reports coming that the Intel Agencies of US has tons of videos of him in compromising positions. [Hey@grok](#) this is real'?"

Thereafter, the details of the twitter account, the mobile number associated with the account and other records, was obtained

which was linked with the bail applicant. The police party then raided the places at Hyderabad and the accused was arrested and his mobile phone was taken into police possession. The challan against the accused has already been presented. Many aspect of the case are yet to be unearthed/unfolded and many more accused are also yet to be arrested in this case. It is further submitted that (a) the material shared by the applicant through his social media account is provocative, objectionable and capable of being inciting communal disharmony and disturbing peace and order. It does not confer upon the citizen's right to speak without responsibility, nor does it grant unfettered license for every possible use of language. The accused/applicant with full awareness and deliberation, published the video clip on a social media platform, expressing targeting a Hon'ble Constitutional Authority, thus, he does not deserve the concession of bail. If bail is granted to the accused, he may threaten the witnesses and may also hamper the investigation/digital record relating to present matter. Therefore, prayer is made to dismiss the present bail application.

4. **I have heard Ld. Counsel for the bail applicant/accused and the Ld. Public Prosecutor and have also perused the file carefully.**

5. The applicant/accused seeks the bail under Sections 196, 318, 336(1), 336(3), 336(4), 340, 353, 356 BNS and under Sections 66-C, 66-D and 67 of I.T. Act. At the time of registration of the case, only prima-facie allegations are to be seen and from perusal of the facts of the present FIR, all the above said Sections under IT Act, as

well as, BNS are prima-facie made out against the applicant/accused. The allegations against the applicant/accused are that he had posted and commented upon a video of a person getting a head massage from a lady. Realizing that the said person in the video looked alike the Hon'ble Prime Minister of India Sh. Narendra Modi and he had commented as under:

“#BreakingNews: LEAKED video of Narendra Modi massage, reports coming that the Intel Agencies of US has tons of videos of him in compromising positions. [Hey@grok](#) this is real’?

Thus, there are serious allegations against the accused/applicant. Perusal of the file shows that the first bail application of the accused/applicant has already been dismissed by this court vide order dated 07.5.2026. It is also matter of the record that the regular bail application of the accused/applicant has also been dismissed by Ld. ASJ, Chandigarh vide order dated 25.5.2026. Now, this is the second bail application of the accused/applicant, in which, no new ground/circumstance has been brought to seek bail of the accused applicant, except that charge sheet has already been presented against the accused/applicant. Presentation of challan in the court is not a ground to grant bail to the accused/applicant, especially when there are serious allegations against the accused/applicant. I am of the view that if bail is granted to the applicant, it will amount to disturbing the public order and it will promote enmity between the groups and other allegations. Hence, keeping in view these facts and

circumstances and the gravity of the offences and seriousness of the allegations, no ground is made out to release the accused on bail.

Therefore, **the 2nd bail application of the accused/applicant is hereby dismissed** and stands disposed of. Papers be tagged with the main file.

Sonali Singh, ACJM,Chd/27.7.2026

UID: PB-0338