

**29.04.2026**

Present: Complainants in person.  
Sh. Manish Gandhi, Ld. Counsel for the complainant  
through VC.

Today, status report is filed by SI Amit. The report of ACP forwarded by DCP is also received. Both the reports are identical. It is stated in the status report that CCTV of the Terminal – 3 area was examined and it is revealed that at about 19:38 hours a dog was seen lured by a person wearing attire of Estate Services towards a vehicle and thereafter the dog was taken in the said vehicle out of the premises. The person associated with the vehicle and luring the dogs were found to be associated with M/s. J K contractors. In regard to this, only four lines are written in the report that DIAL staff was examined who stated that no specific instructions were issued by them to M/s. J K Contractor for removal or relocation of the said dogs. It is stated that exact destination whether dogs were taken is yet to be established. It is further stated in the report that efforts are being made to obtain records regarding sterilization and vaccination of the dogs. It is also being verified whether any complaint regarding dog bite incidents were made and statements of the complaints including verification of their claim of being regular feeders are also under process. It is further stated that in regard to the incident occurred on 02.04.2026 on Terminal – I, PS IGI Airport, no enquiry was initiated at PS IGI Airport since the matter falls outside the jurisdiction.

This court takes exception to the approach made by the police officials in this matter. This court in its order dated 20.04.2020 specifically mentioned about the incident that occurred at Terminal –

1 and having regard to the modus adopted in removing the dogs, there is every likelihood that both the incidents were inter-related and could be part of the same transaction. This court has given directions to DCP, IGI Airport in its order dated 20.04.2026 so that both the incidents could be enquired into in a comprehensive manner and thus, this court has given direction for preservation of CCTV footage of both the terminals. However, it is quite unfortunate that DCP, IGI Airport has not apply its mind to the facts and no steps were taken to enquired the incidents in holistic manner. Further, the approach of the inquiry officer to does not suggest that he wanted to go to the root of the matter. During the course of the proceedings, it was submitted by the complainants who are present today in the court, that instead of questioning the respondents, he handed over a six page questionnaire to them to respond and questioned their locus and veracity of the complaint. The submission of the complainants is corroborated by the status report in which the inquiry officer, instead of questioning the respondents about the exact location of the dogs and the reasons for their relocation, recorded the statements of the complainants and sought verification of the fact whether they are the actual feeders and whether the dogs were duly vaccinated and sterilized. It is also submitted by the complainants that the inquiry officers were asking them to provide the contact details of respondent No. 3 and 4 instead of asking them to join the inquiry. The submissions of the complainants were not denied by the inquiry officer who did not offer any explanation except the fact that he was required to enquire into the claims made by the complainant.

This approach of the police reflects their apathy towards the noble cause for which the complaint has been made. It is not in dispute that before filing the present complaints, complainants have approached SHO as well as DCP prior to the filing of present

application. It has been more than one and half month since the dogs were relocated from their usually feeding area and the police has shown no interest to gather information whether the dogs are alive and their place of relocation. It is also apparent from the said report that inquiry officer shown no interest to gather information about the reason of relocation of the dogs from the respondents as no names of the persons who were examined from DIAL are mentioned. In regard to the M/s. J K Contractors whose staff relocated the dogs, it is simply stated that efforts are being made to contact inquiry from M/s. J K Contracts. Today, the complainant have filed copies of the vaccination, sterilization and feeding data. This court wonders when this information could be gathered by this court in one week, why the police have failed to obtain the same when the complainants have visited the police officers at least 15 times. Further, the police officials have tried to isolate the incident at IGI Airport area from the one occurred at Terminal – 1 solely to make an appearance before the court that the incident which had occurred at IGI Airport did not involved force and hence no action could be taken. This court has enquired from the inquiry officer whether the officials of M/s. J K Contractors were involved in both the incidents to which the inquiry officer answered in affirmative. This is common knowledge that the incident occurred by Terminal – I was circulated widely in the social media and the video showed that a dog was captured inhumanly and thereafter, relocated from the airport area. Both the incidents suggest that it could not be possible for the grounds staff to relocate the dogs without any directions from the authorities involved in operating the airport. Therefore, an comprehensive inquiry was required to be made. Since, this court has given the direction to DCP which the DCP has failed to adhere to, considering gravity of the situation and the fact that dogs are missing for about one and half months, this

court thinks fit to direct Worthy Joint CP, Transport Range to conduct inquiry into both the incidents which occurred at Terminal – 3 as well as Terminal – 1 in a holistic manner and a suitable report be called from the office of the Worthy Joint CP, for NDOH.

Matter be taken up on **11.05.2026 at 2:00 pm.**

Copy of this order be sent to Worthy Joint CP, Transport Range for compliance.

**(PRANAV JOSHI)**  
**ACJM-01/PHC/NDD**  
**29.04.2026**