

ITEM NO.33

COURT NO.7

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).6564/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 23-02-2026 in A482 No. 20610/2024 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

KAILASH CHANDRA KAPRI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 111999/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 113192/2026 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT

IA No. 112000/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES

Date : 20-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAY BISHNOI

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Rajesh Gulab Inamdar, AOR  
Mr. Shashwat Anand, Adv.  
Ms. Sheena Taqui, Adv.  
Ms. Akanksha Saini, Adv.  
Mr. Shashank Tiwari, Adv.  
Mr. Ankur Azad, Adv.  
Mr. Saumitra Anand, Adv.  
Mr. Faiz Ahmad, Adv.  
Mr. Shrey Bhushan, Adv.  
Mr. Raghav Grover, Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh Kapoor, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. IA No. 113192/2026, i.e., application seeking impleadment is allowed. Accordingly, the State of Uttarakhand through its Principal Secretary, Home Department is impleaded as

respondent no.6.

2. Cause title be amended accordingly.

3. The petitioner, a police officer is facing trial for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 323 and 504, respectively, of the Indian Penal Code (for short, "the IPC") and Section 120 of the Railway Act.

4. The criminal proceedings in the form of Case No.545/1991 arising out of Case Crime No.115/1989 registered with GRP Rambagh Police Station, District Allahabad are pending as on date in the Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate (Railway), Prayagraj.

5. We are informed that in all, five accused came to be chargesheeted, including the present petitioner. Out of five, two co-accused passed away and the other two co-accused have already been acquitted on the ground that the prosecution failed to produce any of the prosecution witnesses for the purpose of recording of oral evidence.

6. In the facts and circumstances of this case and more particularly, having regard to the fact that almost 35 years have lapsed, we are inclined to quash the proceedings only on this ground alone.

7. However, before proceeding further to pass any order, we would like to hear the State(s).

8. Issue notice.

9. Dasti, in addition, is permitted.

10. In the meantime, there shall be stay of the further proceedings of Case No.545/1991 pending before the Additional

**Chief Judicial Magistrate (Railway), Prayagraj.**

**11. List on 29.04.2026 on top of the board.**

**(HARPREET KAUR)  
COURT MASTER (SH)**

**(POOJA SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)**