

DHRUV DUTT SHARMA VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

Present Mr.R.S.Rai, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Prabhav Ralli, Advocate,
Mr. Sumer Singh Boparai, Advocate,
Mr. Rubina Virmani, Advicate,
Mr. Surya Pratap Singh, Advocate,
Mr. Ankit Jangra, Advocate,
Mr. Samraat Saxena, Advocate and
Mr. Jasmeet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is a misuse of process of law as no offence under BNS is made out, it is only a dispute between the landlord and tenant regarding non payment of rent and if landlord is aggrieved, then he can file an appropriate petition before the concerned authority; that there are five shops in one shopping complex and five different FIRs have been registered against the petitioner just to harass him just so that if he gets bail in one FIR, then he will be arrested in second and so on, and relied upon the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in **State (NCT) of Delhi versus Khimji Bhai Jadeja** 2026 SCC OnLine SC 19 and the order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-17130-2025, titled **Ashish Bhalla vs. State of Haryana and others** decided on 22.08.2025.

Notice of motion for 08.04.2026.

In pursuance of advance notice, Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana, appeared and requested for a short adjournment for filing reply.

Notice to remaining private respondents be issued for date fixed.

Dasti, as well.

127

CRWP-3145-2026

-2-

In the meanwhile, **except** the first FIR No.262 of 2025,under Sections 409, 420 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Gurugram, the State is directed not to proceed with other FIRs, till the next date of hearing.

19.03.2026
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE