



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7374/2025

Smt. Kanta Kumawat W/o Omprakash Kumawat D/o Prahalad Kumawat, Aged About 28 Years, Nimbaheda, Tehsil Shahpura, District Bhilwara (Present District Shahpura), (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Commissioner Rajasthan State Information Commission, Jhalana Link Road, O.t.s. Circle, Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, (Raj.).
2. The Superintendent Of Police And Public Information Appellate Officer, Bhilwara, District Bhilwara, (Raj.).
3. The Additional Superintendent Of Police And Public Information Officer, Bhilwara, District Bhilwara, (Raj.).

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Gopal Lal Acharya

For Respondent(s) : --

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP MATHUR

Order

03/02/2026

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

"I. By an appropriate writ order or direction, the judgment dated 23.10.2024 (Anx-5) passed by the respondent no. 1 may kindly be quashed and set aside.

II. By an appropriate writ, order or direction order may kindly be issued and respondent no. 2 may kindly be directed to provide the information sought by the petitioner in application dated 09.04.2024; and

III. Any other appropriate writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner."

2. Heard.
3. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the petitioner had sought copies of the pay slips/details of the





salary paid to an employee of the respondent department, namely Omprakash, for the period from January to March, 2024. The information sought by the petitioner under the Right to Information Act, 2005 was denied vide orders dated 26.06.2024 and 23.10.2024 passed by the competent authority, on the ground that the information sought is personal in nature, pertains to a third party, and is therefore exempted from disclosure under the provisions of the RTI Act.

4. This Court finds no illegality or infirmity in the action of the respondents in refusing to supply the information relating to a third party. This Court is conscious of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Girish Ramchandra Deshpande v. Central Information Commissioner & Ors.***, reported in

(2013) 1 SCC 212, wherein it has been held that information relating to the performance of an employee or officer in an organisation is primarily a matter between the employee and the employer, governed by service rules, and falls within the ambit of "personal information". Disclosure of such information, in the absence of any overriding public interest, has no relationship with any public activity or public interest.

5. In view of the aforesaid discussion, this Court finds no merit in the present writ petition. Accordingly, the same is hereby dismissed.

6. The stay petition also stands disposed of.

(KULDEEP MATHUR),J

54-himanshu/-

