

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 1353/2022

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 01-11-2021 in CRLMC No. 3137/2019 passed by the High Court of Kerala at Ernakulam]

SATHYAN NARAVOOR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DR. JACOB THOMAS IPS & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 11319/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 152492/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

IA No. 252591/2024 - PERMISSION TO FILE APPLICATION FOR DIRECTION)

WITH SLP(Crl) No. 3576/2022

Date : 20-01-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAY BISHNOI

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Jayanth Muth Raj, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Harshad V. Hameed, AOR

Mr. Dileep Poolakkot, Adv.

Mrs. Ashly Harshad, Adv.

Mr. Mahabir Singh, Adv.

Mr. Kaleeswaram Raj, Adv. (Through V.C.)

Mr. Mohammed Sadique T.A., AOR

Ms. Thulasi K Raj, Adv.

Ms. Aparna Menon, Adv.

Ms. Chinnu Maria Antony, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. A. Karthik, AOR

Ms. Smrithi Suresh, Adv.

Mr. Sugam Agrawal, Adv.

Ms. Veera Mahuli, Adv.

Ms. Nanditha S, Adv.

Mr. Jayanth Muth Raj, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Harshad V. Hameed, AOR
Mr. Dileep Poolakkot, Adv.
Mrs. Ashly Harshad, Adv.
Mr. Mahabir Singh, Adv.

Ms. Ankita Sharma, AOR
Mr. Arjun D Singh, Adv.
Ms. Ishika Neogi, Adv.

Mr. Suryaprakash V.Raju, A.S.G.
Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Samrat Goswami, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. At the time of hearing Mr. S.V.Raju, learned Additional Solicitor General appearing on behalf of respondent No.5-Union of India, on instructions, submitted that the State had not furnished the list of officers for travelling to Netherlands for investigation.
2. However, learned senior counsel for the petitioner submitted that the requisite information was submitted vide letter dated 7th November, 2025.
3. Mr. S.V.Raju, learned Additional Solicitor General sought time to ascertain this fact and the case was passed over.
4. When the case was taken up on second call, he endorsed the statement made by learned senior counsel for the petitioner. Meaning thereby, the information which

was given to him at the initial stage was not correct. This could have led to passing of a wrong order. For this conduct, we impose cost of ₹25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) on respondent No.5-Union of India. The amount of cost shall be deposited in the below mentioned bank account within four weeks from today:

Name of Fund	Armed Forces Battle Casualties Welfare Fund
Bank Name	Canara Bank Branch New Delhi -
IFSC Code	
Account No.	

5. The Registry is directed to forward a copy of this order on the following email id for information:

_____@gmail.com

6. Adjourned to 21st April, 2026.

(ANITA MALHOTRA)
AR-CUM-PS

(AKSHAY KUMAR BHORIA)
COURT MASTER