



ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.1

SECTION II

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

**Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) Nos.10455-10456/2025**

**[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-03-2025 in CRMA No. 13451/2023 22-05-2025 in CRMA No. 13451/2023 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]**

**RAMNATH MISHRA @ RAMANATH MISHRA**

**Petitioner(s)**

**VERSUS**

**CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

**Respondent(s)**

**(IA No. 166764/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.  
IA No. 166769/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL  
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)**

**WITH**

**SLP(Crl) No. 11143/2025 (II)**

**(IA No. 166796/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL  
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)**

**Date : 25-08-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.**

**CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. ANJARIA**

**For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Yashraj Singh Deora, Sr. Adv.**

**Mr. Harshvardhan Jha, Adv.**

**Mrs. Yugandhara Pawar Jha, AOR**

**Mr. Aman Pathak, Adv.**

**Mr. Priyesh Mohan Srivastava, Adv.**

**For Respondent(s) :Mr. S.D Sanjay, A.S.G.**

**Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR**

**Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, Adv.**

**Mr. Praneet Pranav, Adv.**

**Mr. Madhav Sinhal, Adv.**

**]UPON hearing the counsel, the Court made the following  
O R D E R**

- 1. These are petitions seeking bail.**
- 2. The petitions have been vehemently opposed by Shri**

S.D. Sanjay, learned Additional Solicitor General, on the ground that this Court granting bail during the pendency of the application(s) before the High Court, it will set a wrong precedent.

3. Mr. Yashraj Singh Deora, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner, on the contrary relies on the order passed by this Court on 22.05.2025 in the case of a co-accused.

4. In the order dated 22.05.2025 passed in SLP(C) No.5480/2025, we have observed that normally we would not have entertained the matter, if the application is pending before the High Court. However, in the said matter we had granted relief taking into consideration that the matter before the High Court was adjourned on 27 occasions.

5. In the present case the matter has been adjourned on 43 occasions. We do not appreciate the tendency of the High Court to adjourn the matters pertaining to personal liberty of a citizen on such a large number of occasions.

6. Time and again we have observed that the matters relating to personal liberty should be entertained by the Courts with utmost speed.

7. Taking into consideration that the petitioner has been incarcerated for more than three and a half years, including the period undergone in connected matter, we are inclined to grant bail.

8. The petitioner is directed to be released on bail in Special Case No.02/2022 arising out of FIR No.RC0072020A0004 of 2020 and Special Case No.01/2023 arising out of FIR No.RC0072020A0005 of 2020 and RC0072022A0006 of 2022, to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.

9. The special leave petitions are, accordingly, disposed of.

10. Needless to state that in view of the order passed

by us, the application(s) of the petitioner before the High Court is/are disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

11. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NARENDRA PRASAD)  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(ANJU KAPOOR)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR