

222

CM-20467-CWP-2022 in
CM-2136-CWP-2018 in
CWP-13266-2016

RANDEEP SINGH SURJEWALA

V/S

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

Present: Mr. J.S. Toor, Advocate
Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate and
Mr. Adhiraj Toor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gosain, Sr. Panel Counsel with
Ms. Swati Arora, Advocate and
Mr. Saurav Rao, Advocate
for respondent No.1-Union of India.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

CM-20467-CWP-2022

Application for fixing some actual date of hearing in CM-2136-CWP-2018, is allowed, as prayed for, and the same is taken on board today itself.

Application stands **disposed of** accordingly.

CM-2136-CWP-2018

Earlier, the petitioner has approached this Court, by filing a Civil Writ Petition No.13266-2016, seeking a *mandamus*, upon the respondents, directing them to grant CISF security cover to the petitioner, on account of imminent threat being faced by him.

A Coordinate Bench of this Court, by drawing an order dated 10.03.2017, had disposed of the said writ petition, as the respondent-Union of India, took a decision to provide Y+ category security to the petitioner, all over the India. However, on account of an apprehension of the petitioner, that Govt. may withdraw the security, without any reason and without ascertaining the real threat perception, this Court restrained the respondents-

Union of India and State of Haryana, that in case, they wish to change the category of security, a prior permission is required to be obtained from this Court.

Now, CM-5094-CWP-2025, has been filed on behalf of the respondent No.1-Union of India, wherein, a prayer is made for granting permission to withdraw the security provided to the petitioner.

It is submitted by learned senior panel counsel for Union of India (respondent No.1), that in view of the fresh threat perception assessment, as made by the Central Security Agency, it does not indicate any specific threat perception to the petitioner, and accordingly, the Ministry of Home Affairs, Govt. of India, has proposed to withdraw the security cover provided to the petitioner, and therefore, the application (supra), seeking withdrawal of the security has been filed.

This Court has already issued notice in the said application.

Learned counsel for the non-applicant/petitioner submits that the petitioner is still facing threat perception, and therefore, the petitioner wishes to appear before the authorities concerned, and would submit all the material, in this regard, to substantiate his claim with regard to threat perception.

In view of the submissions, as made by the learned counsel for the parties concerned, this Court deems it apt to grant the permission to the non-applicant/petitioner, to cause appearance before the authorities concerned. Furthermore, the petitioner is at liberty to submit all the relevant materials, which are deemed to be necessary, to substantiate his threat perception.

The non-applicant/petitioner, within a period of four weeks, from the date of passing of this order, shall submit all the material before the apt authorities concerned, and thereupon, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the non-applicant/petitioner, the authorities concerned, shall take a decision thereof, most expeditiously.

It is made clear that a copy of decision, so taken by the authorities concerned, shall be placed before this Court, on the next date of hearing.

List this matter on 30.09.2025.

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE**

July 11, 2025
Manpreet