1

19.07.2019 1. Asr

> R.V.W. 49 of 2019 With CAN 2255 of 2019

High Court of Calcutta
Versus
Debasish Roy & Anr.
With
W.P 6272 (W) of 2019

Sumeet Chowdhury Versus The High Court at Calcutta & anr.

Mr. Joydeep Kar, Sr. Advocat Mr. Siddhartha Banerjee

.....for the petitioner

Mr. Debasish Ray, Advocate in personfor the Respondent

This review application was affirmed on 1st March, 2019. By this time the bench which had decided the said public interest litigation was not sitting and had to be constituted to hear this review application.

The grounds, which have been urged in the review application, were all before this Court or available to the applicant when this public interest litigation, concerning designation of senior advocates for this High Court, was heard and the judgement and order pronounced on 31st January 2019.

2

Hence none of the grounds in Order 47 Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure has been made out to justify entertainment of this review application. The remedy of the applicant, if at all available, lies in appeal.

This review application is dismissed by granting liberty to the applicant to urge all the points taken in this application in an appeal that may be preferred from the said judgement and order dated 31st January 2019.

Re: W.P. 6272 (W) of 2019

None appears in support of the writ application.

No accommodation is sought.

This application (W.P. 6272 (W) of 2019) is dismissed for default.

Interim order, if any, is vacated.

(I. P. Mukerji,J.)

(Amrita Sinha,J.)