



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 2<sup>ND</sup> DAY OF DECEMBER, 2025**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAMMAD NAWAZ**

**CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 7990 OF 2025**

**BETWEEN:**

SRI E.SURESH  
S/O LATE ETHIRAJULU  
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS  
RESIDING AT NO. H-831  
BRIGADE LAKE FRONT APARTMENT  
SEETHARAMPALYA,  
BANGALORE - 560 048.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI PARAMESHWARAPPA M. V., ADVOCATE)



**AND:**

1. STATE BY CEN CRIME PS ,  
CHIKKABALLAPURA  
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA  
BANGALORE - 560 001.



...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI RAHUL RAI K., HCGP FOR R1;  
SMT. ANUSHA DEVI H., ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S 482 CR.PC (FILED U/S 528 BNSS) PRAYING TO QUASH THE FIR, COMPLAINT AND ORDER SHEET IN CR.NO.45/2025 REGISTERED BY THE CHIKKABALLAPAURA CEN POLICE PENDING ON THE FILE OF II ADDL.CIVIL AND JUDGE AND J.M.F.C AT CHIKKABALLAPURA AS PER ANNEXURE A TO C FOR THE OFFENCE P/U/S 318(4) OF BNS 2023 AND 66(D) OF I.T ACT BY ALLOWING THE PETITION FILED BY THE PETITIONER.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAMMAD NAWAZ



**ORAL ORDER**

This Petition is preferred under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023 seeking to quash the FIR and complaint in Crime No.45/2025 registered by the Chikkaballapura CEN Crime Police Station, for the offence punishable under Section 318(4) of the BNS and Section 66(D) of the Information Technology Act, 2008.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned HCGP for the State and learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2-defacto complainant.

3. It is alleged in the complaint that the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent got acquainted with the petitioner on 10.10.2024 in a 'divorcee matrimony', wherein the petitioner promised her that he will give her a new life and started contacting her through phone and making video calls. Thereafter, stating that he has purchased a site and he has to register the same and for the said purpose, he requires financial assistance, received on various



occasions, a total sum of Rs.2,80,000/- and cheated her by not returning the amount and also abused her in filthy language and refused to marry etc.

4. The investigation is at the threshold. In the complaint, the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent has given the details of the account number and the particulars regarding the transfer of the amount to the account of the petitioner. The allegations are that the petitioner after befriending the complainant assuring that he will give her a new life, received on various occasions a total sum of Rs.2,80,000/- and cheated her.

5. The contention of the petitioner's counsel that the entire allegations are false and the dispute is purely civil in nature etc., cannot be accepted at this stage, as the averments in the complaint are that the petitioner received money in the guise for registering the site purchased by him. Since the investigation is in progress, this Court is not inclined to entertain the petition. If the



parties are willing to settle the dispute, it is always open for them to file a petition for quashing on that ground. The petition is ***dismissed***.

I.A.No.2/2025 is disposed of.

**Sd/-  
(MOHAMMAD NAWAZ)  
JUDGE**