



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(COMM) 589/2025 with I.A. 14380/2025, I.A. 14381/2025,
I.A. 14382/2025, I.A. 14383/2025 & I.A. 14384/2025

TITAN COMPANY LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Pravin Anand, Mr. Achuthan
Sreekumar, Mr. Rohil Bansal and Mr.
Swastik Bisarya, Advocates.

versus

**LENSKART SOLUTIONS
PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR.**

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Nishchal Anand, Ms. Tanvi Jain
and Mr. Vaibhav, Advocates with Mr.
Mrinal Srivastava, A.R. of D-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

30.05.2025

1. The present suit has been filed seeking relief of permanent injunction restraining infringement of the plaintiff's trade marks TITAN and FASTRACT and passing off, along with other ancillary reliefs.

2. The plaintiff is the registered proprietor of the marks TITAN,

TITAN EYE+

, FASTRACK and

fastrack

, among others. The grievance of the plaintiff in the present suit is that the plaintiff's aforesaid registered marks are used on the defendants' website



www.lenskart.com and also as metatags to the source code of the said website.

3. In this regard, a legal notice dated 13th February, 2025 has been issued by the plaintiff to the defendants.

4. Mr. Nishchal Anand, counsel appearing on advance notice on behalf of the defendants, submits that the occurrence of the registered trade marks of the plaintiff on the website of the defendants and as metatags to the source code of the same was on account of an inadvertent mistake. He also submits that the defendants have already taken remedial steps to remove all references to the plaintiff registered marks from their website. It is further submitted if the plaintiff were to inform the defendants of any such references/ listings in the future, the defendants shall ensure that the same are taken down.

5. Mr. Nishchal Anand submits that the defendants do not have the intention to infringe the plaintiff's trade marks which are the subject matter of the present suit.

6. In view of the aforesaid statement, the defendants do not wish to contest the present suit.

7. Binding the defendants to the aforesaid statement, the suit is decreed.

8. In view of the fact that the suit has been disposed of on the first date itself even prior to the issuance of summons, the plaintiff is exempted from paying the court fees.

9. All pending applications stands disposed of.

AMIT BANSAL, J

MAY 30, 2025/ds