



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT  
JODHPUR**

S.B. Criminal Misc(Pet.) No. 228/2026



----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Public Prosecutor
2. Jasaram S/o Kalaram, Additional Director, Law Prep Tutorial Lpt Edtech Pvt. Ltd. Address 11/12 Riddhi Siddhi Vihar, Gram Pal, Boranada, Jodhpur, Rajasthan

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Vineet Jain, Senior Adv.

Mr. Vivek Mathur

Mr. Udit Mathur

Mr. Purshottam Saraswat

Mr. Khadyotan Gurjar

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Vikram Rajpurohit, PP

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU**  
**Order**

**12/01/2026**

Learned counsel for the petitioner highlights that the matter involves a CLAT student as well as the institution which is offering coaching for CLAT, and the claim of the coaching institute to have taught the petitioner-student has culminated into the present criminal litigation, the petitioner is a ranker in the CLAT examination.

The FIR as has been read out by the learned counsel, in a nutshell states that the petitioner, who is a minor, has qualified the Common Law Entrance Test as All India Rank (AIR) and was portrayed as having received her coaching from the complainant-institution. Thereafter, it is alleged that, upon being given a





lucrative offer by another institution, director/partners of which are also accused, her father tried to blackmail the complainant-institution.

Be that as it may, on the face of it, this petition involves a minor girl and from the bare reading of the FIR, it appears to be a fight over claiming the credit of a top ranker and seems to be more of a business rivalry between the two institutions.

‘ This Court feels that, in fact, such dispute should not have cropped up, when the same involves a student and the institutions which are offering coaching for CLAT, both the parties should have exercised restraint in the matter. It is expected from the parties to settle the matter at the earliest so that this face-off does not enter the next phase.

Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to interfere in this matter and issue notice to the respondent No.2.

In view thereof, it is deemed appropriate to refer the matter to mediation at this stage. All the parties shall appear before the Mediation Centre on 21.01.2026. Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to serve a copy of this order as well as the petition to the complainant as well as the other accused through WhatsApp or e-mail, so as to enable them to appear before the Mediation Centre. If they do not appear the Mediation Centre will issue notice to them for the subsequent date.





[CRLMP-228/2026]



The further investigation in FIR No. 04/26 shall remain stayed. Let the matter be kept with the report of the Mediator on 16.02.2026.

In the mediation proceedings, the petitioner's father shall appear representing the petitioner in person or through V.C.



**(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),J**

423-architp/-